

# Total Phenolic, Phenolic Acid, Anthocyanin, Flavan-3-ol, and Flavonol Profiles and Antioxidant Properties of Pinto and Black Beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) as Affected by Thermal Processing

Baojun  $Xu^{\dagger}$  and Sam K. C. Chang<sup>\*,‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Department of Veterinary Clinical Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, and <sup>‡</sup>Department of Cereal and Food Sciences, North Dakota State University, Fargo, North Dakota 58105

The effects of boiling and steaming processes at atmospheric and high pressures on the phenolic components and antioxidant properties of pinto and black beans were investigated. In comparison to the original raw beans, all processing methods caused significant (p < 0.05) decreases in total phenolic content (TPC), total flavonoid content (TFC), condensed tannin content (CTC), monomeric anthocyanin content (MAC), DPPH free-radical scavenging activity (DPPH), ferric-reducing antioxidant power (FRAP), and oxygen radical absorbing capacity (ORAC) values in both pinto and black beans. Steaming processing resulted in a greater retention of TPC, DPPH, FRAP, and ORAC values than the boiling processes in both pinto and black beans. To further investigate how thermal processing affected phenolic compositions and to elucidate the contribution of individual phenolic compounds to antioxidant properties, phenolic acids, anthocyanins, flavan-3-ols, and flavonols were guantitatively analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). All thermal processing significantly (p < 0.05) affected individual phenolic acids, anthocyanins, flavan-3-ols, and flavonols, significantly (p < 0.05) reduced total phenolic acid contents in both pinto and black beans and total flavonol contents in pinto beans, and dramatically reduced anthocyanin contents in black beans. Phenolic acids and flavonols may play important roles on the overall antioxidant activities of pinto beans, while anthocyanins, flavan-3-ols, and flavonols may play important roles on the overall antioxidant activities of black beans.

KEYWORDS: Pinto bean; black bean; total phenolics; phenolic acids; anthocyanins; flavan-3-ols; flavonols; processing; antioxidants; DPPH; FRAP; ORAC; HPLC

## INTRODUCTION

In recent years, colored common beans, including pinto beans and black beans, have attracted a great deal of attention because of their functional pigments and health-promoting effects in relation to prevention of chronic diseases, including cancers, cardiovascular diseases, obesity, and diabetes. Nutrition scientists working at the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) measured antioxidant levels of more than 100 antioxidant-rich foods. Interestingly, 3 types of common beans (including pinto bean) occupied the top 4 positions in the list of the top 10 antioxidant-rich foods based on per serving (1). In vitro antioxidant activities of raw pinto and black beans have been reported previously (2-4). Some major phenolic phytochemicals, such as flavonols, flavonol glycosides, anthocyanins, and procyanidins, have been isolated and identified from pinto and black beans (2, 5-7). This research indicates that pinto and black beans may serve as excellent dietary sources of natural antioxidants for

disease prevention and health promotion. However, the healthpromoting capacity of beans strictly depends upon their processing history because beans must be cooked or processed before consumption.

Food processing not only improves flavor and palatability of legume foods but also increases the bioavailability of nutrients and reduces the flatulence factors (raffinose oligosaccharides) in legume foods. Cooking brings about a number of changes in physical characteristics and chemical compositions of food legumes. Food legumes are usually cooked by a boiling process before use. Pressure boiling and steaming can also be used for this purpose. Common beans (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) are the world's second most important legume class after soybeans and are one of the basic foods in Africa, India, and Latin American. Nutrition scientists characterize the common bean as a nearly perfect food because of its high protein content and generous amounts of fiber, complex carbohydrates, and other dietary necessities. Total per capita consumption of common beans has increased markedly over the past 2 decades (8) in the United States. It is important to elucidate the effect of traditional thermal processing on functional components of common beans. Currently, only two studies

<sup>\*</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed: Department of Cereal and Food Science, North Dakota State University, Fargo, ND 58105. Telephone: 701-231-7485. Fax: 701-231-6536. E-mail: kow. chang@ndsu.edu.

### Article

reported the changes of antioxidant components and antioxidant activities of the processed beans, including our previous report on black bean (9) and the other reported by Rocha-Guzman et al. (10) on pressure-cooked (autoclaved) common beans. Our preliminary study showed that soaking, boiling, and steaming processing significantly affected the total phenolic contents and antioxidant activities of black bean (P. vulgaris L. cv. Turtle Eclipse) (9) and cool season food legumes (11). However, how thermal processing affects individual phenolic compounds present in beans and which components are responsible for the antioxidant activity have not been studied. Therefore, the present study was undertaken to investigate the effects of boiling and steaming processes on the phenolic compounds and antioxidant activities of pinto and black beans and to elucidate the contribution of phenolic components to the antioxidant properties of pinto and black beans.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals and Reagents. A total of 16 phenolic acids (gallic, protocatechuic, 2,3,4-trihydroxybenzoic, p-hydroxybenzoic, gentistic, vanillic, caffeic, chlorogenic, syringic, p-coumaric, m-coumaric, o-coumaric, ferullic, salicylic, sinapic, and trans-cinnamic acid), 3 aldehydes (vanillin, syringaldehyde, and protocatechualdehyde), 5 flavan-3-ols [(+)-catechin, (+)-epicatechin, epigallo-catechin, epicatechin-gallate, and epigallatecatechin-gallate], and 6 flavonols or flavones (myricetin, luteolin, quercetin, apigenin, kaempferol, and quercetin-3-rutinoside), high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)-grade trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), 2-diphenyl-1-picryhydrazyl radical (DPPH\*), fluorescein disodium (FL), Folin-Ciocalteu reagent, sodium carbonate, 2,4,6-tri(2-pyridyl)-s-triazine (TPTZ), and 6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethlchroman-2-carboxylic acid (Trolox) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO). Kaempferol-3-O-glucoside, kaempferol-3-O-rutinoside, and quercetin-3-O-glucoside were purchased from Extrasynthese S.A. (Genay, France). A mixture of six unimolar anthocyanin standards (3-O- $\beta$ -glucosides of delphinidin, cyanidin, petunidin, pelargonidin, peonidin, and malvidin) was purchased from Polyphenols Laboratories (Sandnes, Norway). 2,2'-Azobis(2-amidino-propane)dihydrochloride (AAPH) was purchased from Wako Chemicals USA (Richmond, VA). HPLC-grade solvents (methanol and acetonitrile, B & J Brand) and other analyticalgrade solvents used for extraction were purchased from VWR International (West Chester, PA). Polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) syringe filters with a pore size of  $0.2 \,\mu m$  were purchased from National Scientific Company (Duluth, GA).

**Bean Materials.** The dry beans used in this study were pinto beans (*P. vulgaris* L. cv. Pinto, 2002 crop) and black beans (*P. vulgaris* L. cv. Turtle Eclipse, 2004 crop), which were obtained from Archer Daniel Midland (Casselton, ND) and the University of Idaho Foundation Seed Program Kimberly Research and Extension Center (Kimberly, ID), respectively. Broken seeds, damaged seeds, and foreign materials were removed from the samples. The moisture content was determined by drying a portion of raw beans or freeze-dried cooked bean samples in an air oven at 110 °C until a constant weight was obtained (*12*). Another portion of original raw beans (without drying) was soaked and subsequently cooked according to methods described in the following sections. All calculations for the determination of phenolics and quantification of antioxidant activities are on a dry weight basis according to the respective moisture contents in original raw beans and freeze-dried cooked beans.

**Soaking and Hydration Ratio.** Soaking and hydration ratio calculations were carried out according to our previous communication (9). The soaked beans (with a 50% hydration ratio) were drained and then boiled or steamed by the methods described below.

**Boiling, Steaming, and Cooking Time.** All thermal processes were performed according to our previous procedures (9). Briefly, regular boiling and steaming treatments were conducted using a domestic atmospheric cooker and a domestic atmospheric steam cooker, respectively. Pressure boiling and steaming were conducted using a M-0512-H Mirro pressure cooker (Mirro Co., Manitowoc, WI). The cooking time was determined on the basis of a tactile method according to Vindiola et al. (13). Boiling and steaming time as well as pressure conditions were

selected for pinto bean from the preliminary experiments and selected for black bean from our previous report (9). After cooking treatments, the beans were drained and cooled to room temperature in covered plastic containers. Subsequently, the cooked samples were frozen and then freeze-dried.

**Extraction of Total Phenolic Composition.** The original raw beans and the freeze-dried cooked beans were ground to flour with an IKA all basic mill (IKA Works, Inc., Wilmington, NC) to pass through a 60-mesh sieve. Extraction procedures followed our earlier communication (14). Briefly, bean flours (0.5 g in triplicate) were extracted twice with 5 mL of acetone/water/acetic acid (70:29.5:0.5, v/v/v). The extracts were combined and used for the determination of total phenolics and antioxidant activities.

**Determination of Total Phenolic Content (TPC).** The TPC was determined by a Folin–Ciocalteu assay (15) using gallic acid (GA) as the standard. The absorbance was measured using a UV–vis spectrophotometer (UV 160, Shimadzu, Japan) at 765 nm against a reagent blank. The TPC was expressed as milligrams of gallic acid equivalents per gram of dry bean (mg of GAE/g) through the calibration curve of gallic acid. The linearity range of the calibration curve was  $50-1000 \mu g/mL (r = 0.99)$ .

**Determination of Total Flavonoid Content (TFC).** The TFC was determined using a colorimetric method described previously (*14*, *16*). The absorbance was measured at 510 nm using a UV–vis spectrophotometer (UV 160, Shimadzu, Japan). The TFC was expressed as milligrams of catechin equivalents per gram of dry bean (mg of CAE/g) using the calibration curve of (+)-catechin. The linearity range of the calibration curve was 10–1000  $\mu$ g/mL (r = 0.99).

**Determination of Condensed Tannin Content (CTC).** The CTC analysis was carried out according to the method described previously (17), with slight modifications (14). The absorption was measured using a UV-vis spectrophotometer (UV 160, Shimadzu, Japan) at 500 nm against methanol as a blank. The CTC was expressed as milligrams of catechin equivalents per gram of dry bean (mg of CAE/g) using the calibration curve of (+)-catechin. The linearity range of the calibration curve was  $50-1000 \mu g/mL (r = 0.99)$ .

**Determination of Monomeric Anthocyanin Content (MAC).** The MAC was determined using a pH differential method (*18*). A Shimadzu UV 160 double-beam spectrophotometer was used for measuring absorbance at 700 and 520 nm. The pigment content was expressed as milligrams of cyanidin-3-glucoside equivalents per gram of dry bean (mg of CyE/g), using an extinction coefficient of 26 900 L cm<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> and molecular weight of 449.2 g mol<sup>-1</sup>. Even though delphinidin-3-glucoside is the major anthocyanin in black beans (*5*), the total anthocyanin content is calculated as cyanidin-3-glucoside because of its historical usage for similar assays and its wide commercial availability (*18*).

**Radical DPPH Scavenging Activity (DPPH).** The free-radical scavenging capacity was evaluated according to previous reports (14, 19). The DPPH value was expressed as micromoles of Trolox equivalent per gram of dry bean ( $\mu$ mol of TE/g) using the calibration curve of Trolox. The linearity range of the calibration curve was 20–1000  $\mu$ M (r = 0.99).

**Ferric-Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP).** The FRAP assay was performed as the method described previously (*14*, 20). The FRAP value was expressed as millimoles of Fe<sup>2+</sup> equivalent per 100 g of dry bean (mmol of FE/100 g) using the calibration curve of Fe<sup>2+</sup>. The linearity range of the calibration curve was 0.1-1.0 mM (r = 0.99).

**Oxygen Radical Absorbing Capacity (ORAC).** Hydrophilic ORAC analysis was carried out on a BMG Fluostar Optima Microplate Reader (BMG Labtech GmbH, Offenburg, Germany), which was equipped with two autoinjectors, an incubator, and wavelength adjustable fluorescence filters. The procedures were based on the previous report by Prior et al. (21), with slight modifications (14). The ORAC value was expressed as micromoles of Trolox equivalent per gram of dry bean ( $\mu$ mol of TE/g) using the calibration curve of Trolox. The linearity range of the calibration curve was 5.0–50  $\mu$ M (r = 0.99).

**HPLC Analysis of Phenolic Acid Contents.** *Extraction of Free Phenolic Acids.* The extraction of free phenolic acids was performed by modifying the method of Luthria and Pastor-Corrales (22). Briefly, the original raw and freeze-dried cooked bean samples (0.5 g in triplicate) were extracted twice with 5 mL of methanol/water/acetic acid/butylated hydroxytoluene (85:15:0.5:0.2, v/v/v/w) by shaking extraction tubes on an orbital shaker at 300 rpm at room temperature for 4 h. The extracts were concentrated at 45 °C under vacuum to remove solvents. The dry residue was dissolved in 5 mL of water and freeze-dried. The freeze-dried extracts (10 mg) were dissolved in 1 mL of 25% methanol. The methanol solution was centrifuged and filtered through a 0.2  $\mu$ m PVDF syringe filter and analyzed for free phenolic acid content by HPLC.

*Extraction of Conjugated Phenolic Acids*. The extraction of conjugated phenolic acids was performed according to previous report (22), with slight modifications. Briefly, the raw and cooked bean samples (0.4 g in triplicate) were hydrolyzed and extracted with 10 mL of 2 N NaOH [contained 10 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) and 1% vitamin C (w/v)], at 40–45 °C for 30 min. The reaction mixture was acidified by adding 2.8 mL of 7.2 N HCl. The mixture was vortexed for 5–10 s, and phenolic acids were extracted with ethyl acetate twice (2 × 10 mL). The combined organic layer was concentrated to dryness at 45 °C under vacuum to remove solvents. The dry residue was redissolved in 1.5 mL of 25% methanol. The methanol solution was filtered through a 0.2  $\mu$ m PVDF syringe filter and analyzed for conjugated phenolic acid content by HPLC.

*HPLC Analysis of Phenolic Acids*. The quantitative analysis of both free and conjugated phenolic acids was performed by HPLC according to Robbins and Bean (23), with slight modifications (24). A Waters Associates (Milford, MA) chromatography system equipped with a model 720 system controller, model 6000A solvent delivery system, model 7125 loading sample injector, and model 418 LC UV detector (270 nm) was used. A  $4.6 \times 250$  mm,  $5 \mu$ m, Zorbax Stablebond Analytical SB-C<sub>18</sub> column (Agilent Technologies, Rising Sun, MD) was used for separation at 40 °C, which was maintained with a column heater. Elution was performed using mobile phase A (0.1% TFA aqueous solution) and mobile phase B (methanol), and the flow rate was set to 0.7 mL/min. The solvent gradient in volumetric ratios was as follows: 5-30% B over 50 min. The solvent gradient was held at 30% B for an additional 15 min and increased to 100% B at 66 min. The solvent gradient was held at 100% B for an additional 10 min to clean up the column.

Identification and Quantification of Phenolic Acids. For the identification of HPLC peaks in the samples, 1 mg/mL stock solution of each individual standard was prepared and diluted to 100  $\mu$ g/mL. The diluted working solutions were injected to HPLC. The spiking and external standard methods were used for identification of peaks by comparing the increase of peak areas and retention time. In addition, to further confirm the identities of peaks through their UV spectrum information, individual phenolic acid and phenolic acid mixture as well as several typical samples was selected to perform analysis on another HPLC (HP 1090, Hewlett-Packard, Waldbronn, Germany), which was equipped with a UV-PDA detector.

All identified phenolic acids were quantified with external standards using HPLC analysis, as described previously (24). To prepare 1 mg/mL stock solution of the standard mixture, 10 mg of each phenolic acid compound was mixed together and dissolved in 10 mL of 25% methanol. The stock solution was diluted into nine series of standard working solution with distilled water (100, 50, 25, 10, 5, 2.5, 1, 0.5, and 0.25  $\mu$ g/mL). Standard curves of phenolic acids were established by plotting peak areas against the concentrations of the standards from the averages of duplicate injections. Phenolic acid contents were expressed as micrograms per gram of bean ( $\mu$ g/g) on a dry weight basis.

**HPLC Analysis of Flavan-3-ol and Flavonol Content.** Extraction of Flavonols. The cooked bean samples were freeze-dried and then ground. The ground original raw and freeze-dried cooked beans  $(0.5 \pm 0.01 \text{ g} \text{ in triplicate})$  were extracted at room temperature with 10 mL of extraction solvent (70% acetone/29.5% water/0.5% acetic acid, v/v/v) by shaking extraction tubes on an orbital shaker at 250 rpm for 3 h. The slurry was centrifuged by an Allegra 21R Centrifuge (Beckman Coulter Ltd., Palo Alto, CA) at 5500 rpm for 20 min. A total of 5 mL of the supernatant was evaporated to dryness on a rotary evaporator at 35 °C. The residues in the flask were dissolved in 2 mL of 80% methanol. An aliquot of the sample solution was filtered through a 0.2  $\mu$ m PTFE syringe filter prior to HPLC assay.

*HPLC Analysis of Flavonols.* The quantitative analysis of flavonols was performed according to methodology of isoflavone analysis developed by Murphy et al. (25), with a slight modification. The same

Waters Associates chromatography system (Milford, MA) as that used for phenolic acid analysis was used for the analysis of flavonols with UV 262 nm detection. A YMC-Pack ODS-AM-303 C<sub>18</sub> reversed-phase column (250 × 4.6 mm internal diameter, 5  $\mu$ m particle size) was obtained from Waters (Milford, MA) for chromatographic separation at 34 °C, which was maintained with a column heater. A linear gradient mobile phase consisted of solvent A (0.1% acetic acid in water) and solvent B (0.1% acetic acid in acetonitrile). After 20  $\mu$ L of sample was injected, the system was eluted with 15% of solvent B for 5 min at the flow rate of 1.0 mL/min, increased to 29% over 31 min at the flow rate up to 1.5 mL/min, and then increased to 35% over 8 min at the same flow rate of 1.5 mL/min. Then, the gradient was increased to 50% of solvent B in 2 min, kept at 50% of solvent B for 10 min at the flow rate of 1.5 mL/min, and then recycled back to 15% B at the flow rate of 1.0 mL/min in 2 min, and the column was equilibrated with the initial solvent for 2 min prior to running the next sample.

Identification and Quantification of Flavan-3-ols and Flavonols. Five flavan-3-ols [(+)-catechin, (+)-epicatechin, epigallo-catechin, epicatechin-gallate, and epigallatecatechin-gallate] and nine flavonols or flavones (myricetin, luteolin, quercetin, apigenin, kaempferol, kaempferol-3-glucoside, kaempferol-3-rutinoside, quercetin-3-glucoside, and quercetin-3-rutinoside) are commercially available and directly used to identify the sample peaks by comparing their retention times and HPLC profiles to a standard mixture as well as literature (7, 26, 27). In addition, a spiking method was used for peak identification of some samples.

The quantification of flavan-3-ols and flavonols was performed by calibrating the peak areas obtained from HPLC analyses. External calibration curves were obtained for each of six external standards by plotting the peak area of each standard against the concentration. For the other flavonols without commercial standards, concentrations were calculated from the standard curves that were adjusted appropriately from the standard curves of the respective form of flavonols based on the differences in molecular weight and molar extinction coefficients of the compounds. Flavonol contents were expressed as micrograms of flavonol per gram of dry bean ( $\mu$ g/g).

**HPLC Analysis of Anthocyanin Content.** *HPLC Conditions.* The free phenolic acid extracts were also used for anthocyanin analysis. The analysis was performed on a HP 1090 HPLC (Hewlett-Packard, Waldbronn, Germany) equipped with a filter photometric detector, using a YMC Pack ODS-AM column ( $4.6 \times 250$  mm, S-50  $\mu$ m, 120A) according to our previous communication (24). HPLC conditions were as follows: solvent A, 0.1% TFA/H<sub>2</sub>O; solvent B, CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O/TFA (50:50:0.1, v/v/v); linear gradient, initial percentage of B (15%) to 60 min (40%); column temperature, 40 °C; and flow rate, 0.5 mL/min. The filter detector was set at 540 nm.

Identification and Quantification of Anthocyanin. The identifications and peak assignments of anthocyanins were primarily based on the comparison of their retention times to those of standards, a blueberry reference sample, and the literature (5). The stock solution of anthocyanins was prepared by dissolving standards (unimolar mixture of  $3-O-\beta$ glucosides of delphinidin, cyanidin, petunidin, pelargonidin, peonidin, and malvidin) in methanol to give a concentration of 1.0 mg/mL. A portion of the stock solution was then diluted using methanol to the following series dilutions: 1 in 5, 10, 20, 40, 80, and 160. Standard curves of anthocyanins were plotted peak areas against concentrations by duplicate injections of the six series diluted working solutions of the standard mixture. Anthocyanin contents were expressed as micrograms of anthocyanin per gram of bean ( $\mu$ g/g) on a dry weight basis.

**Statistical Analysis.** All boiling and steaming processes were performed in triplicate, and further composition analyses and antioxidant evaluations were performed on the basis of triplicate-processed samples. The data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. Statistical analysis was performed using 2005 SAS (version 9.1, SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC). Duncan's multiple range tests were used to determine the differences between group means. Significant levels were defined as probabilities of 0.05 or less. Pearson correlation tests were conducted to determine the correlations between variables.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Hydration Ratio and Soaking, Boiling, and Steaming Time. Traditionally, dry legumes are soaked to hydrate prior to boiling Table 1. Effect of Boiling and Steaming on Free Phenolic Acid (FPA) Compositions (µg/g) of Pinto and Black Beans<sup>a</sup>

GA         PA         TBA         PCD         HBA         VA         VN           Pinto Bean           raw         83.17±7.4b         16.08±3.7a         1.23±0.2c         49.90±3.9a         16.59±0.9a         113.16±5.9a         94.58±10.8a           RB, 90 min         34.42±2.6 cd         10.11±0.6b         2.52±0.1b         14.02±0.5 bc         4.81±0.3c         28.75±0.5 c         19.85±1.0b           PB, 15 psi, 10 min         38.16±2.6 c         8.94±0.8 bc         1.99±0.1 bc         16.66±0.6 b         4.66±0.5 c         38.41±0.9 b         21.39±8.7 b           RS, 70 min         23.35±7.8d         5.56±1.9 c         2.48±0.7 b         10.15±3.3 c         3.94±1.4 c         15.36±4.7 d         16.92±5.8 b           PS, 15 psi, 60 min         114.57±11.4 a         10.45±1.1 b         5.21±0.7 a         10.67±0.6 c         7.19±0.2 b         12.08±0.8 d         17.69±4.3 b	subtotal benzoics $374.72 \pm 14.9$ a
Pinto Beanraw $83.17 \pm 7.4 \text{ b}$ $16.08 \pm 3.7 \text{ a}$ $1.23 \pm 0.2 \text{ c}$ $49.90 \pm 3.9 \text{ a}$ $16.59 \pm 0.9 \text{ a}$ $113.16 \pm 5.9 \text{ a}$ $94.58 \pm 10.8 \text{ a}$ RB, 90 min $34.42 \pm 2.6 \text{ cd}$ $10.11 \pm 0.6 \text{ b}$ $2.52 \pm 0.1 \text{ b}$ $14.02 \pm 0.5 \text{ bc}$ $4.81 \pm 0.3 \text{ c}$ $28.75 \pm 0.5 \text{ c}$ $19.85 \pm 1.0 \text{ b}$ PB, 15 psi, 10 min $38.16 \pm 2.6 \text{ c}$ $8.94 \pm 0.8 \text{ bc}$ $1.99 \pm 0.1 \text{ bc}$ $16.66 \pm 0.6 \text{ b}$ $4.66 \pm 0.5 \text{ c}$ $38.41 \pm 0.9 \text{ b}$ $21.39 \pm 8.7 \text{ b}$ RS, 70 min $23.35 \pm 7.8 \text{ d}$ $5.56 \pm 1.9 \text{ c}$ $2.48 \pm 0.7 \text{ b}$ $10.15 \pm 3.3 \text{ c}$ $3.94 \pm 1.4 \text{ c}$ $15.36 \pm 4.7 \text{ d}$ $16.92 \pm 5.8 \text{ b}$ PS, 15 psi, 60 min $114.57 \pm 11.4 \text{ a}$ $10.45 \pm 1.1 \text{ b}$ $5.21 \pm 0.7 \text{ a}$ $10.67 \pm 0.6 \text{ c}$ $7.19 \pm 0.2 \text{ b}$ $12.08 \pm 0.8 \text{ d}$ $17.69 \pm 4.3 \text{ b}$ Black Bean	374.72 ± 14.9 a
raw $83.17 \pm 7.4 \text{ b}$ $16.08 \pm 3.7 \text{ a}$ $1.23 \pm 0.2 \text{ c}$ $49.90 \pm 3.9 \text{ a}$ $16.59 \pm 0.9 \text{ a}$ $113.16 \pm 5.9 \text{ a}$ $94.58 \pm 10.8 \text{ a}$ RB, 90 min $34.42 \pm 2.6 \text{ cd}$ $10.11 \pm 0.6 \text{ b}$ $2.52 \pm 0.1 \text{ b}$ $14.02 \pm 0.5 \text{ bc}$ $4.81 \pm 0.3 \text{ c}$ $28.75 \pm 0.5 \text{ c}$ $19.85 \pm 1.0 \text{ b}$ PB, 15 psi, 10 min $38.16 \pm 2.6 \text{ c}$ $8.94 \pm 0.8 \text{ bc}$ $1.99 \pm 0.1 \text{ bc}$ $16.66 \pm 0.6 \text{ b}$ $4.66 \pm 0.5 \text{ c}$ $38.41 \pm 0.9 \text{ b}$ $21.39 \pm 8.7 \text{ b}$ RS, 70 min $23.35 \pm 7.8 \text{ d}$ $5.56 \pm 1.9 \text{ c}$ $2.48 \pm 0.7 \text{ b}$ $10.15 \pm 3.3 \text{ c}$ $3.94 \pm 1.4 \text{ c}$ $15.36 \pm 4.7 \text{ d}$ $16.92 \pm 5.8 \text{ b}$ PS, 15 psi, 60 min $114.57 \pm 11.4 \text{ a}$ $10.45 \pm 1.1 \text{ b}$ $5.21 \pm 0.7 \text{ a}$ $10.67 \pm 0.6 \text{ c}$ $7.19 \pm 0.2 \text{ b}$ $12.08 \pm 0.8 \text{ d}$ $17.69 \pm 4.3 \text{ b}$ Black Bean	$374.72 \pm 14.9a$
RB, 90 min $34.42 \pm 2.6 \text{ cd}$ $10.11 \pm 0.6 \text{ b}$ $2.52 \pm 0.1 \text{ b}$ $14.02 \pm 0.5 \text{ bc}$ $4.81 \pm 0.3 \text{ c}$ $28.75 \pm 0.5 \text{ c}$ $19.85 \pm 1.0 \text{ b}$ PB, 15 psi, 10 min $38.16 \pm 2.6 \text{ c}$ $8.94 \pm 0.8 \text{ bc}$ $1.99 \pm 0.1 \text{ bc}$ $16.66 \pm 0.6 \text{ b}$ $4.66 \pm 0.5 \text{ c}$ $38.41 \pm 0.9 \text{ b}$ $21.39 \pm 8.7 \text{ b}$ RS, 70 min $23.35 \pm 7.8 \text{ d}$ $5.56 \pm 1.9 \text{ c}$ $2.48 \pm 0.7 \text{ b}$ $10.15 \pm 3.3 \text{ c}$ $3.94 \pm 1.4 \text{ c}$ $15.36 \pm 4.7 \text{ d}$ $16.92 \pm 5.8 \text{ b}$ PS, 15 psi, 60 min $114.57 \pm 11.4 \text{ a}$ $10.45 \pm 1.1 \text{ b}$ $5.21 \pm 0.7 \text{ a}$ $10.67 \pm 0.6 \text{ c}$ $7.19 \pm 0.2 \text{ b}$ $12.08 \pm 0.8 \text{ d}$ $17.69 \pm 4.3 \text{ b}$ Black Bean	
PB, 15 psi, 10 min       38.16±2.6 c       8.94±0.8 bc       1.99±0.1 bc       16.66±0.6 b       4.66±0.5 c       38.41±0.9 b       21.39±8.7 b         RS, 70 min       23.35±7.8 d       5.56±1.9 c       2.48±0.7 b       10.15±3.3 c       3.94±1.4 c       15.36±4.7 d       16.92±5.8 b         PS, 15 psi, 60 min       114.57±11.4 a       10.45±1.1 b       5.21±0.7 a       10.67±0.6 c       7.19±0.2 b       12.08±0.8 d       17.69±4.3 b	$114.48 \pm 4.1{ m c}$
RS, 70 min         23.35 ± 7.8 d         5.56 ± 1.9 c         2.48 ± 0.7 b         10.15 ± 3.3 c         3.94 ± 1.4 c         15.36 ± 4.7 d         16.92 ± 5.8 b           PS, 15 psi, 60 min         114.57 ± 11.4 a         10.45 ± 1.1 b         5.21 ± 0.7 a         10.67 ± 0.6 c         7.19 ± 0.2 b         12.08 ± 0.8 d         17.69 ± 4.3 b           Black Bean         Black	$130.24\pm11.6\mathrm{c}$
PS, 15 psi, 60 min $114.57 \pm 11.4 \text{ a}$ $10.45 \pm 1.1 \text{ b}$ $5.21 \pm 0.7 \text{ a}$ $10.67 \pm 0.6 \text{ c}$ $7.19 \pm 0.2 \text{ b}$ $12.08 \pm 0.8 \text{ d}$ $17.69 \pm 4.3 \text{ b}$ Black Bean	$77.77\pm24.7\mathrm{d}$
Black Bean	$177.89\pm16.8\mathrm{b}$
raw $89.64 \pm 8.9$ b $12.69 \pm 0.7$ b ND <sup>b</sup> ND $19.03 \pm 0.7$ a $58.64 \pm 1.6$ b $14.74 \pm 0.5$ b	$194.73\pm10.6\mathrm{c}$
RB, 80 min         41.16±1.5 c         ND         2.18±0.2 b         ND         11.35±0.3 bc         13.08±0.6 c         7.42±0.2 b	$75.18\pm2.4\mathrm{d}$
$PB, 15 \ psi, 10 \ min \qquad 32.92 \pm 2.9 \ c \qquad 2.04 \pm 0.3 \ c \qquad 1.19 \pm 0.1 \ c \qquad 3.16 \pm 0.1 \ c \qquad 10.74 \pm 0.3 \ c \qquad 10.69 \pm 0.9 \ cd \qquad 8.15 \pm 0.90 \ b \qquad blue = 10.00 \ blue = 10.0$	$68.89\pm4.4\mathrm{d}$
RS, 70 min 78.17±2.7b 66.11±2.4a ND 55.18±0.9a ND 7.95±0.4d 36.11±16.9a	$243.53\pm12.6\mathrm{b}$
	$335.17 \pm 17.7  \mathrm{a}$
individual cinnamic acid derivate	
CFA CLA PCA + SD MCA + FA SPA TCA subtotal cinnamics to	otal phenolic acids
Pinto Bean	
raw ND 465.0 ± 21.2 a ND ND 264.0 ± 18.1 a ND 729.0 ± 38.5 a	1103.7 ± 43.2 a
RB, 90 min ND 167.0 ± 7.1 b 18.22 ± 1.6 b 6.96 ± 0.9 a 45.18 ± 3.8 c 2.35 ± 0.1 b 239.7 ± 12.7 bc	$354.2\pm13.8\mathrm{bc}$
PB, 15 psi, 10 min ND 217.9 ± 6.5 b 24.00 ± 0.9 a 7.15 ± 0.9 a 60.70 ± 1.7 b 2.25 ± 0.1 b 311.3 ± 9.3 b	$441.6\pm20.4\mathrm{b}$
RS, 70 min ND 195.0 ± 62.2 b 7.54 ± 0.3 c 1.51 ± 0.6 b 11.00 ± 3.5 d 18.13 ± 4.8 a 232.1 ± 72.8 c	$309.9\pm97.5\mathrm{c}$
$PS, 15 \ psi, 60 \ min \qquad ND \qquad 212.5 \pm 19.3 \ b \qquad 6.75 \pm 0.9 \ c \qquad 1.92 \pm 0.7 \ b \qquad 14.32 \pm 1.5 \ d \qquad 22.83 \pm 1.9 \ a \qquad 258.3 \pm 24.0 \ bc \qquad \mathsf$	$436.2\pm40.9\text{b}$
Black Bean	
raw 8.59 ± 5.2 ab 226.1 ± 38.9 a ND 9.29 ± 0.1 b 13.99 ± 0.3 d ND 257.9 ± 43.7 b	$452.7 \pm 41.2~{ m c}$
RB, 80 min         10.75 $\pm$ 0.6 a         111.0 $\pm$ 4.3 b         ND         2.26 $\pm$ 0.2 c         19.66 $\pm$ 0.5 c         ND         143.7 $\pm$ 4.5 c	$218.9\pm6.8\text{d}$
PB, 15 psi, 10 min $2.85 \pm 0.9$ b $89.9 \pm 12.9$ b ND $1.42 \pm 0.3$ c $8.65 \pm 0.5$ e ND $102.9 \pm 13.0$ d	$171.8\pm17.4\mathrm{e}$
RS, 70 min ND 259.8 ± 14.9 a ND 9.39 ± 1.1 b 48.38 ± 2.1 b ND 317.6 ± 13.7 a	$561.1\pm4.0\mathrm{b}$
PS, 15 psi, 60 min ND 250.7 $\pm$ 8.0 a ND 13.70 $\pm$ 0.6 a 53.73 $\pm$ 3.1 a ND 318.1 $\pm$ 10.6 a	$653.3 \pm 15.0$ a

<sup>*a*</sup> Data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (*n* = 3) on a dry weight basis. Values marked by the same letter within each bean in each column are not significantly different (*p* < 0.05). Phenolic acids: GA, gallic acid; PA, protocatechuic acid; TBA, 2,3,4-trihydroxybenzoic acid; PCD, protocatechualdehyde; HBA, *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid; VA, vanillic acid; VN, vanillin; CFA, caffeic acid; CLA, chlorogenic acid; PCA + SD, *p*-coumaric acid + syringaldehyde; MCA + FA, *m*-coumaric acid + ferullic acid; SPA, sinapic acid; TCA, *trans*-cinnamic acid. RB, regular boiling; PB, pressure boiling; RS, regular steaming; PS, pressure steaming. <sup>*b*</sup>ND = not detectable.

for ease of cooking. The water absorption curves (curves were not shown here) of pinto and black beans were characterized by an initial phase of rapid water pickup followed by an equilibrium phase, during which the beans approached their full soaking capacity. Beans were prone to saturation after soaking for 14 h, and water absorption reached a plateau after soaking for 16 h. In addition, soaking water of black beans had a dark color. This phenomenon indicated that some soluble constituents (may include phenolic antioxidant constituents) were leached into the soaking water. To decrease potential losses of antioxidant components, soaking treatments with a low hydration ratio (50%)and short soaking times were designed for the following boiling and steaming treatments. To obtain the desired hydration ratio (50%), soaking times (2.3 h for pinto bean and 4 h for black bean) were calculated by calibrating through a quadratic fit equation of the water absorption curves.

Cooking time as well as cooked texture, appearance, and flavor are important cooking quality characteristics. The cooking time for producing palatable products is one of the main criteria used in evaluating the cooking quality of dry legumes. In current studies, the tactile method of Vindiola et al. (13) was applied to determine the cooking time (including boiling and steaming) of all treatments. We defined the time as the cooking time when 90% of the beans could be squeezed easily with the forefinger and the thumb. The optimum cooking times for the different cooking conditions were selected from our preliminary experiments (results were not shown here). To prepare processed samples for further analysis, several optimum cooking times were selected. The optimum cooking times (shown in **Tables 1–4**) selected for regular boiling, 15 psi pressure boiling, regular steaming, and 15 psi pressure steaming were 90, 10, 70, and 60 min for pinto beans and 80, 10, 70, and 60 min for black beans, respectively.

Effect of Thermal Processing on Total Phenolic Compositions of Beans. Total phenolic content (TPC), total flavonoid content (TFC), condensed tannin content (CTC), and monomeric anthocyanin content (MAC) of the extracts from the original raw and cooked pinto and black beans are presented in Figure 1. Significant differences (p < 0.05) in TPC, TFC, CTC, and MAC values were found among most treatments for both pinto and black beans. In the case of pinto beans, in comparison to the original raw beans, all processing treatments caused significant (p < 0.05) decreases in TPC, TFC, and CTC values, while pressure steaming did not cause significant (p < 0.05) decreases in MAC values. In the case of black beans, in comparison to the original raw beans, all heating treatments caused significant (p < 0.05) decreases in all measured phenolic indexes. Notably, all treatments caused almost complete losses of MAC values. In comparison to the boiling treatments, both regular and pressuresteaming treatments retained greater TPC and TFC values. These results indicated that processing caused complex changes in

Table 2. Effect of B	oiling and Steaming c	on Conjugated Phen	olic Acid (CPA) Compo:	sitions (ug/g) of Pinto	and Black Beans <sup>a</sup>					
				indiv	vidual benzoic derivate					
	GA	РА	TBA	PCD	HBA	VA	N	SA	SCA	subtotal benzoics
					Pinto Bean					,
raw BB 90 min	29.06 ± 2.81 a 16 73 ± 0 20 c	17.35 土 1.95 a 8 56 土 0 35 c	84.18 ± 8.80 a 32 63 ± 2 73 c	18.70±0.86a 11.21±0.64.cd	12.69 ± 1.88 a 6 18 ± 0 57 d	2.18±0.20 a 0.61±0.12 h	11.71 ± 0.69 a 4 03 ± 0 30 c	$1.62\pm0.11\mathrm{a}$ ND <sup>b</sup>	237.92 ± 1.06 a 64 79 + 1 41 c	414.70 土 14.27 a 06.43 + 83.53 c 〕
PB, 15 pSI, 10 MIN DS 70 min	$20.04 \pm 1.700$	0.121 ± 0.21 0 0.06 ± 0.61 0	33.00 ± 2.83 C	$11.48 \pm 1.11C$	0.07 ± 0.65 bc	0.01 ± 0.08 D	$4.05 \pm 0.48 \text{ C}$	0.51 ± 0.12 C	0 0 0 0 1 7 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	181.30 ± 83.150 751 18 ± 751 b
PS, 15 psi, 60 min	$9.42 \pm 0.61$ d	$3.00 \pm 0.310$ 4.43 $\pm 0.05$ d	22.13±0.47 d	$9.48 \pm 0.38$ d	$7.25 \pm 0.16$ cd	$0.74 \pm 0.05$ b	7.94 ± 0.27 b	$0.24 \pm 0.03  d$	$18.06 \pm 0.91$ d	$70.93 \pm 14.97 c$
					Black Bean					
	16 00 ± 1 60 0	5 60 ± 0 18 2	110 07 - 5 17 2	11 75 ± 0 60 a			10 80 ± 0 81 5	24 74 ± 1 58 5	15 60 ± 0 50 0	050 EE 🗕 1 07 o
Iaw BB 80 min	$10.32 \pm 1.02 \text{ C}$	0.03 ± 0.10 a	119.9/ 王 0.4/ d 49 03 十 1 80 C	678 ± 0.58 c	9.04 ± 0.49 d		4 42 ± 0.21 d	04.74 王 1.30 g 7 01 十 0 77 d	40.09 ± 2.02 d 10 35 ± 0 35 a	2.2533 王 4.27 d 02 68 十 3 17 d
PB 15 nsi 10 min	20 69 + 2 69 h	$251 \pm 0.10$ h	67 19 + 5 55 h	$6.06 \pm 0.47c$	$2.62 \pm 0.050$		$452 \pm 0.41c$	$10.29 \pm 1.01c$	$39.36 \pm 3.47$ h	02:00 ± 0.17 0 163 16 + 11 15 h
RS. 70 min	$15.25 \pm 1.45$ c	$2.58 \pm 0.29$ b	66.68 + 4.95 b	$8.69 \pm 0.41$ b	$3.58 \pm 1.07$ b	QN	13.27 + 0.89 a	$15.73 \pm 1.27$ b	$34.67 \pm 0.88 c$	$160.44 \pm 11.21$ b
PS, 15 psi, 60 min	32.96±0.81 a	5.91 ± 0.22 a	34.88 土 3.24 c	$7.09 \pm 0.99$ c	$2.87 \pm 0.40$ bc	$0.63\pm0.05$	$7.46 \pm 0.38 \mathrm{b}$	$7.15 \pm 0.47$ d	$27.51 \pm 0.69  d$	$126.47 \pm 4.10$ c
				individual cinnamic (	derivate					
	CFA	CLA	PCA + SD	MCA + FA	SPA	ŏ	CA	TCA	subtotal cinnamics	total phenolic acids
					Pinto Bean					
raw	$11.07 \pm 0.55 a$	QN	14,49 + 0,41 a	23.23 + 2.08 a	$24.75 \pm 1.85$	91 + 0.91	- 0.06 a 21.4	46 + 1.04 a	88.46 + 8.94 a	$503.16 \pm 13.35  \text{a}$
RB 90 min	$2.86 \pm 0.21c$	Ē	$4.11 \pm 0.14$ d	$6.17 \pm 0.59c$	$7.32 \pm 0.45$			79 + 0.04 c	$27.26 \pm 1.013$ d	114.60 + 75.89 c
PB. 15 psi. 10 min	$4.47 \pm 0.59$ b	2	$8.08 \pm 0.65  \mathrm{c}$	$6.18 \pm 1.85  c$	$11.66 \pm 2.15$			ND	$61 \pm 5.40 \mathrm{c}$	$209.97 \pm 88.09 \mathrm{c}$
RS. 70 min	$10.68 \pm 0.53  a$	Q	$10.79 \pm 0.78  b$	19.81 ± 1.97 a	21.09 ± 0.76	5b 1.11≟	=0.1 a 13.3	$36 \pm 0.28  \text{b}$	$76.27 \pm 4.7$ b	$318.72 \pm 12.64$ b
PS, 15 psi, 60 min	$3.66\pm0.21\mathrm{bc}$	QN	$7.46\pm0.28\mathrm{c}$	$13.24\pm1.16\mathrm{b}$	$10.00\pm0.45$	2 cd N	D	ND	$25.50 \pm 17.68  \mathrm{d}$	$96.44 \pm 32.64$ c
					Black Bean					
raw	23.43 ± 1.05 a	QN	32.44 ± 1.09 a	28.31 ± 3.39 a	$25.10 \pm 1.86$	ða 12.84∃	= 0.66 a 3.0	30 ± 0.31 a	125.42 ± 7.14 a	377.97 ± 11.40 a
RB, 80 min	$6.16 \pm 0.48  \mathrm{e}$	QN	$7.84 \pm 0.35  \mathrm{d}$	$7.33 \pm 0.58  d$	$5.46 \pm 0.46$	3e 4.53 ∃	= 0.93 c 0.7	$76\pm0.15\mathrm{c}$	$32.23 \pm 1.99 \mathrm{c}$	$124.75 \pm 4.6$ b
PB, 15 psi, 10 min	$7.81 \pm 0.30  d$	Q	$11.84\pm0.25\mathrm{c}$	$10.74 \pm 0.17  c$	$8.31 \pm 0.26$	5d 4.82∃	= 0.40 c 0.8	$37\pm0.08\mathrm{c}$	$44.40 \pm 1.33  \mathrm{c}$	$207.56 \pm 12.06$ b
RS, 70 min	$10.51 \pm 1.23$ c	QN	$20.77 \pm 0.36{ m c}$	$19.23 \pm 0.05  \mathrm{b}$	$18.43 \pm 1.52$	2b 7.21 ≟	= 0.18 b 1.9	$91\pm0.13\mathrm{b}$	$78.07 \pm 0.54$ b	$238.51 \pm 11.76$ b
PS, 15 psi, 60 min	$14.68 \pm 0.42$ b	$1.37 \pm 0.03$	$20.84\pm0.56\mathrm{b}$	$19.23 \pm 0.97  \mathrm{b}$	$13.74 \pm 0.75$	3c 6.82∃	= 0.23 b	ND	$75.30 \pm 2.48$ b	$201.77 \pm 5.20$ b
<sup>a</sup> Data are expresse 2,3,4-trihydroxybenzoii FA. <i>m</i> -coumaric acid +	d as mean ± standard : acid; PCD, protocatec ferullic acid: SPA. sin	deviation ( <i>n</i> = 3) on a d thualdehyde; HBA, <i>p</i> -h apic acid: OCA, <i>o</i> -cou	Iry weight basis. Values m iydroxybenzoic acid; VA, v maric acid: TCA. <i>trans</i> -cii	arked by the same letter anillic acid; VN, vanillin nnamic acid. RB. redul	r within each bean in each south and the south of the sou	ach column are not si A, salicylic acid; CFA re boiling: RS. regula	gnificantly different ( <i>p</i> , caffeic acid; CLA, ch ar steaming: PS. pres	<ul> <li>&lt; 0.05). Phenolic acid</li> <li>lorogenic acid; PCA -</li> <li>ssure steaming. <sup>b</sup> ND</li> </ul>	ls: GA, gallic acid; PA, pro + SD, <i>p</i> -coumaric acid + s = not detectable.	tocatechuic acid; TBA, yringaldehyde; MCA +

4758 J. Agric. Food Chem., Vol. 57, No. 11, 2009

Xu and Chang

Table 3. Effect of Boiling and Steaming on Anthocyanin Compositions (µg/g) of Pinto and Black Beans<sup>a</sup>

	delphinidin-3-glucose	malvidin-3, 5-diglucose <sup>b</sup>	petunidin-3-glucose	malvidin-3-galactoside <sup>b</sup>	malvidin-3-glucose
		Pinto Bean			
raw	ND <sup>c</sup>	ND	ND	ND	ND
		Black Bean			
raw	$2196.5 \pm 105.9  \mathrm{a}$	$529.8\pm8.7\mathrm{a}$	$838.2\pm37.8\mathrm{a}$	$25.24\pm0.53$	$422.4\pm30.3\mathrm{a}$
regular boiling, 80 min	$78.52\pm2.3\mathrm{b}$	$115.6 \pm 2.1{ m c}$	$50.89\pm1.6\mathrm{b}$	ND	$44.07\pm1.7\mathrm{b}$
pressure boiling, 15 psi, 10 min	$117.9\pm8.7\mathrm{b}$	$149.4\pm0.6\mathrm{c}$	$69.78\pm11.5\mathrm{b}$	ND	$49.65\pm3.8\mathrm{b}$
regular steaming, 70 min	$150.2\pm3.4\mathrm{b}$	$218.3\pm18.2\mathrm{b}$	$71.37\pm3.0\text{b}$	ND	$45.92\pm4.9\mathrm{b}$
pressure steaming, 15 psi, 60 min	$22.98\pm1.0\text{b}$	ND	$11.16 \pm 0.9\text{c}$	ND	$6.39\pm0.4b$

<sup>*a*</sup> Data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (*n* = 3) on a dry weight basis. Values marked by the same letter within a column are not significantly different (*p* < 0.05). <sup>*b*</sup> Because of no available commercial compounds, concentrations of malvidin-3,5-diglucose, and malvidin-3-galactoside were calculated from the standard curve of malvidin-3-glucose that was adjusted on the basis of molecular-weight differences. <sup>*c*</sup> ND = not detectable.

Table 4.	Effect of Boiling and Stear	ning on Flavan-3-ols and F	lavonols Compositions (ug/	(g) of Pinto and Black Beans <sup>a</sup>

		flavan-3-ols flavonols					
	(+)-catechin	(+)-epicatechin	epicatechin gallate	kaempferol-3-O-glucoside	kaempferol-3-O-acetylglucoside	myricetin	total flavonols
				Pinto Bean			
raw	$ND^b$	ND	ND	$147.91 \pm 10.5  a$	$29.99\pm1.0\mathrm{a}$	ND	177.90 ± 11.5 a
RB, 90 min	ND	ND	ND	$52.17\pm1.6\mathrm{c}$	$9.25\pm0.2\mathrm{d}$	ND	$61.42\pm1.8\mathrm{c}$
PB, 15 psi, 10 min	ND	ND	ND	$54.53\pm4.4\mathrm{c}$	12.39 $\pm$ 0.6 c	ND	$66.92\pm3.9\mathrm{c}$
RS, 70 min	ND	ND	ND	$80.55\pm6.5\mathrm{b}$	$16.35\pm0.1\mathrm{b}$	ND	$96.89\pm6.6\mathrm{b}$
PS, 15 psi, 60 min	ND	ND	ND	$56.86\pm4.3\mathrm{c}$	ND	ND	$56.87\pm4.3\mathrm{c}$
				Black Bean			
raw	$246.9\pm5.9\mathrm{b}$	$214.8\pm16.9\mathrm{b}$	$89.57\pm3.6\mathrm{a}$	$27.37 \pm 1.2  a$	ND	$98.76\pm0.8\mathrm{a}$	$677.36 \pm 17.3 \ { m b}$
RB, 80 min	$126.1\pm15.0~\mathrm{c}$	$177.9\pm0.3\mathrm{c}$	$40.65\pm0.2~\text{d}$	ND	ND	$45.23\pm0.5\mathrm{e}$	$389.97\pm14.5\mathrm{d}$
PB, 15 psi, 10 min	$103.5\pm0.3~\text{d}$	$178.9\pm3.1\mathrm{c}$	$58.31\pm3.8~\mathrm{c}$	$2.24\pm0.1b$	ND	$54.98\pm1.7\mathrm{d}$	$397.95\pm8.3\mathrm{d}$
RS, 70 min	$240.5\pm5.4\mathrm{b}$	$207.8\pm14.5b$	$57.33\pm0.1\mathrm{c}$	ND	ND	$77.18\pm0.6\mathrm{c}$	$582.79\pm20.5\mathrm{c}$
PS, 15 psi, 60 min	$296.2\pm7.5a$	$294.1\pm9.5a$	$68.66\pm1.6\mathrm{b}$	ND	ND	$88.20\pm1.2b$	$747.09 \pm 19.8\mathrm{a}$

<sup>*a*</sup> Data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (*n* = 3) on a dry weight basis. Values marked by the same letter within each bean in each column are not significantly different (*p* < 0.05). <sup>*b*</sup> ND, not detectable; RB, regular boiling; PB, pressure boiling; RS, regular steaming; PS, pressure steaming.

chemical compositions. Thermal processing might cause degradation of polyphenols and release bound phenolic compositions. The differences in phenolic profiles caused by thermal processing between pinto and black beans might be due to the differences in the distributions and compositions of individual phenolic components in seed coat and cotyledon. In addition, it has been extensively accepted that phenolic determinations based on color reaction may sometimes overestimate phenolic substance content because of certain nonphenolic substances giving a positive reaction. For example, vitamin C and proteins may give a positive reaction in the Folin-Ciocalteu assay. Trace color substances (non-anthocyanin) in pinto bean extract gave a false-positive reaction in the MAC assay of pinto bean, in which anthocyanins were not detected using HPLC analysis. However, we realize that all methods have limitations. For instance, HPLC cannot quantify all phenolic substances under the specific wavelength. That is why we use multiple methods to determine phenolic substances by combining colorimetric and HPLC methods in this report.

Data on phenolics in cooked legumes are very limited. Bressani and Elias (28) observed that about 30-40% of phenolics could be removed from common beans by cooking and discarding the cooking water. In the present study, it was found that about 63– 77% of TPC, 67–84% of TFC, 32–54% of CTC, and 0–100% of MAC were reduced in pinto beans. Both regular boiling and pressure boiling lost much more TPC (about 71–77%) than steaming treatments in pinto beans. In the case of black beans, about 61-74% of TPC, 61-79% of TFC, 68-73% CTC, and 88-100% MAC losses were found in thermal-processed black beans. In addition, boiling treatment reduced more TPC and TFC than steaming processing. Pressure steaming reduced more TPC, TFC, CTC, and MAC values than regular steaming. These results on the variations of TPC by processing are in good agreement with those reported by Ismail et al. (29), who found that thermal treatment decreased the TPC in all vegetables. These results also exhibited trends similar to those of our previous papers (9, 11, 24), in which we showed that thermal processing lost 50–70% of TPC in peas, 70–80% of TPC in black common beans, and 43-63% of TPC in black soybean. These significant losses might be attributed to those water-soluble phenolics that were leached into soaking and cooking water before and during thermal processing as well as the breakdown of phenolics during processing.

Effect of Thermal Processing on Antioxidant Activities of Beans. Antioxidant activity determination is reaction-mechanism-dependent. The ORAC test reaction in removing reactive oxygen species uses the hydrogen-transfer mechanism, whereas the DPPH and FRAP use the electron-transfer mechanism (30). The specificity and sensitivity of one method does not lead to the complete examination of all phenolic compounds in the extract. Therefore, a combination of several tests could provide a more reliable assessment of the antioxidant activity profiles of bean



**Figure 1.** Effect of boiling and steaming on total phenolics (A, TPC; B, TFC; C, CTC; and D, MAC) of pinto and black beans. Bar data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (n = 3) on a dry weight basis. Values marked above the same color bars with the same letter are not significantly different (p < 0.05). RB, regular boiling for 90 min on pinto beans and for 80 min on black beans; PB, pressure (15 psi) boiling for 10 min; RS, regular steaming for 70 min; and PS, pressure (15 psi) steaming for 60 min.

samples. Antioxidant activities of the original raw and cooked pinto and black beans, including DPPH, FRAP, and ORAC, are presented in Figure 2. Significant differences (p < 0.05) in DPPH, FRAP, and ORAC values were found among most treatments for both pinto and black beans. In comparison to the original raw beans (both pinto and black beans), all processing treatments caused significant (p < 0.05) decreases in DPPH, FRAP, and ORAC values. In comparison to the boiling treatments, both regular and pressure-steaming treatments preserved greater antioxidant values. In comparison to the regular (atmospheric) heat treatments, pressure boiling treatments retained significantly (p < 0.05) higher DPPH, FRAP, and ORAC values. Pressure boiling achieved palatable cooked beans within a shorter cooking time (10 min) than regular boiling (90 min); therefore, pressure boiling leached relatively fewer phenolic antioxidant substances into cooking water than regular boiling.

Boiling is generally regarded as being destructive to antioxidant compounds (31). This was verified by our antioxidant assays. The results from pinto beans showed that boiling processes decreased about 60.1–66.6% of DPPH values, 69.5– 74.3% of FRAP values, and about 60–70% of ORAC values. The results from black beans showed that boiling (both regular and pressure) decreased DPPH values by about 46%, FRAP values by about 72%, and ORAC values by about 70–82%. However, steaming processing preserved significantly (p < 0.05) higher antioxidant activities (DPPH, FRAP, and ORAC values) as compared to the boiling treatments in both pinto and black beans.

The linear correlation among total phenolics, total flavonoids, condensed tannins, monomeric anthocyanins, and antioxidant

activities among all processed pinto and black bean samples were analyzed. In the case of pinto beans, there were significant (p < 0.0001) correlations between different types of phenolics (TPC and TFC, TPC and CTC, TFC and CTC) but there were no significant correlations between MAC and other types of phenolics (TPC, TFC, and CTC). There were significant (p < 0.0001) correlations between total phenolics (TPC, TFC, and CTC) and antioxidant values (r values ranged from 0.84 to 0.99), whereas there were no significant (p < 0.0001) correlations between MAC and antioxidant values.

In the case of black beans, there were significant correlations between different types of phenolics: TPC and TFC (r = 0.99, p < 0.0001), TPC and CTC (r = 0.97, p < 0.0001), TPC and MAC (r = 0.97, p < 0.0001), TFC and CTC (r = 0.97, p < 0.0001), TFC and MAC (r = 0.97, p < 0.0001), as well as CTC and MAC (r = 0.97, p < 0.0001). Significant (p < 0.0001) correlations existed between all phenolic indexes and antioxidant activity values. These correlation results indicated that all antioxidant assay methods were well-correlated and that different phenolics contents might have different degrees of contributions to overall antioxidant activities.

The changes in the overall antioxidant properties of processed beans can be attributed to synergistic combinations or counteractions of several types of chemical reactions, leaching of watersoluble antioxidant compositions, and the formation or breakdown of antioxidant compositions. To better understand the role and fate of natural and heat-induced antioxidants on food stability and human health, the following research was performed to investigate the molecular mechanisms responsible for the loss or formation of antioxidants and interactions between natural





**Figure 2.** Effect of boiling and steaming on antioxidant properties (A, DPPH; B, FRAP; and C, ORAC) of pinto and black beans. Bar data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (n = 3) on a dry weight basis. Values marked above the same color bars with the same letter are not significantly different (p < 0.05). RB, regular boiling for 90 min on pinto beans and for 80 min on black beans; PB, pressure (15 psi) boiling for 10 min; RS, regular steaming for 70 min; and PS, pressure (15 psi) steaming for 60 min.

and heat-induced antioxidants and their effects on the overall antioxidant properties of cooked beans.

Effect of Thermal Processing on Phenolic Acid Compositions. Phenolic acids have great importance as precursors for synthesizing many other phenolic molecules in plants. The most important biological activity of phenolic acids is their antioxidant properties (32). To the best of our knowledge, phenolic acid profiles in processed common beans have not been systematically investigated. The free phenolic acid (FPA) contents and conjugated phenolic acid (CPA) contents of the original raw and processed pinto and black beans are presented in **Tables 1** and **2**, respectively. Because of the instrumental limitation of current HPLC and the performance of the column, p-coumaric acid (PCA) and syringaldehyde (SD) as well as m-coumaric acid (MCA) and ferullic acid (FA) did not become separated completely in both standard mixtures and samples. Four compounds contributed two peaks. Therefore, PCA and SD were estimated as one compound (PCA + SD), using one standard curve by plotting integrated peak area contributed by these two compounds against the concentration (PCA + SD in a 1:1 mass ratio). MCA and FA were estimated as one compound (MCA + FA) in a similar manner. Seven benzoic phenolic acids and their derivates (gallic, protocatechuic, 2,3,4-trihydroxybenzoic, p-hydroxybenzoic, and vanillic acids, protocatechualdehyde, and vanillin) and two phenolic acids of the cinnamic types (chlorogenic and sinapic acid) were detected in both raw and processed pinto beans (Table 1). Among the compounds detected, gallic, vanillic, chlorogenic, and sinapic acids, protocatechualdehyde, and vanillin were the predominant phenolic acids in the raw pinto beans. Three benzoic phenolic acids and their derivates (gallic and vanillic acids and vanillin) and three phenolic acids of the cinnamic types (chlorogenic, MCA + FA, and sinapic acids) were detected in both raw and processed black beans. Gallic, vanillic, and chlorogenic acids were also the predominant phenolic acids among the compounds detected in the raw black beans.

CPA analyses (Table 2) showed that seven phenolic acids of the benzoic types (gallic, protocatechuic, 2,3,4-trihydroxybenzoic, p-hydroxybenzoic, and salicylic acids, protocatechualdehyde, and vanillin) and five phenolic acids of the cinnamic types (caffeic, PCA + SD, MCA + FA, o-coumaric, and sinapic acids) were detected in both raw and processed pinto beans. Eight benzoic phenolic acids and their derivates (gallic, protocatechuic, 2,3,4trihydroxybenzoic, p-hydroxybenzoic, syringic, and salicylic acids, vanillin, and protocatechualdehyde) and five phenolic acids of the cinnamic types (caffeic, PCA + SD, MCA + FA, o-coumaric, and sinapic acids) were detected in both raw and processed black beans. However, chlorogenic and trans-cinnamic acids [the predominant phenolic acid compositions in the FPA assay (Table 1)] were not detected or decreased greatly in both pinto and black beans. More types of phenolic acids were detected in the CPA assay (Table 2) than the FPA assay. This phenomenon might be attributed to sample alkaline hydrolysis, which released more types of phenolic acids from the bonded forms to the free forms. In addition, alkaline hydrolysis partly broke down some original free phenolic acids, such as chlorogenic acid and the constituent aglycone (caffeic acid) (33). That is why chlorogenic acid was the predominant phenolic acid in beans in the FPA analyses (Table 1) but became undetectable in beans in the CPA analyses (Table 2).

Significant differences (p < 0.05) in FPA and CPA were found among most treatments for both pinto and black beans. In the case of FPA compositions of pinto beans (**Table 1**), in comparison to the original raw beans, regular and pressure boiling, regular steaming caused significant (p < 0.05) decreases in all benzoic acids and their derivates (except for 2,3,4-trihydroxybenzoic acid, subtotal benzoic acids, chlorogenic acid, sinapic acid, subtotal cinnamic acids, and total phenolic acids content but caused significant increases in 2,3,4-trihydroxybenzoic acid, PCA + SD, and MCA + FA. Pressure steaming caused significant (p < 0.05) increases in gallic, 2,3,4-trihydroxybenzoic, and *trans*-cinnamic acids but caused significant decreases in the other individual phenolic acids, subtotal benzoic acids, subtotal cinnamic acids, and total phenolic acids.

In the case of FPA compositions of black beans (**Table 1**), in comparison to the original raw beans, both regular and pressure boiling caused significant (p < 0.05) decreases in all detected

individual phenolic acids and their derivates (except for caffeic acid), subtotal benzoic acids, subtotal cinnamic acids, and total phenolic acids but regular boiling treatment caused significant increases in caffeic acid content. Regular steaming caused significant (p < 0.05) increases in protocatechuic, chlorogenic, and sinapic acids, protochechualdehyde, vanillin, subtotal benzoic acids, subtotal cinnamic acids, and total phenolic acids but caused significant decreases in the other individual phenolic acids. Pressure steaming caused significant (p < 0.05) increases in gallic, 2,3,4-trihydroxybenzoic, chlorogenic, MCA + FA, and sinapic acids, subtotal cinnamic acids, and total phenolic acids but caused significant decreases in the other individual benzoic acids, subtotal cinnamic acids, and total phenolic acids benzoic acids, subtotal cinnamic acids, and total phenolic acids benzoic acids, subtotal cinnamic acids, and total phenolic acids benzoic acids, subtotal cinnamic acids, and total phenolic acids benzoic acids, subtotal cinnamic acids, and total phenolic acids benzoic acids, subtotal cinnamic acids, and total phenolic acids benzoic acids, subtotal cinnamic acids, and total phenolic acids benzoic acids, subtotal cinnamic acids, and total phenolic acids benzoic acids, subtotal cinnamic acids, and total phenolic acids benzoic acids.

Free total phenolic acids of pinto beans were reduced by all thermal-processing treatments. Total phenolic acids in pinto beans were reduced by 67.9% after regular boiling, by 59.9% after pressure boiling, by 71.9% after regular steaming, and by 60.4% after pressure steaming. Total phenolic acids in black beans were reduced by 51.7% after regular boiling, reduced by 62.1% after pressure boiling, increased by 23.9% after regular steaming. Therefore, steaming processing retained or yielded (by releasing from bond form) more total phenolic acids in black bean than boiling processing.

In the analysis of CPA in pinto beans (Table 2), in comparison to the original raw beans, all processing treatments caused significant (p < 0.05) decreases in most individual phenolic acids and their derivates, subtotal benzoic acids, subtotal cinnamic acids, and total phenolic acids, with exception of the cases of vanillin, caffeic acid, and MCA + FA of pinto beans, to which regular steaming produced no significant differences for these compound as compared to the raw pinto beans. In the analysis of CPA in black beans, in comparison to the raw black beans, regular and pressure boiling, regular steaming treatments caused significant (p < 0.05) decreases in most phenolic acids, subtotal benzoic acids, subtotal cinnamic acids, and total phenolic acid. However, pressure-steaming treatments caused significant increases in gallic acid and caused significant decreases in the other phenolic acids, subtotal benzoic acids, subtotal cinnamic acids, and total phenolic acids.

Thermal treatments could cause changes in the phenolic substances in the food products. For example, the roasting of coffee beans induced a significant loss in chlorogenic content (34) that was due to chemical degradation or oxidation. According to the description of Fleuriet and Macheix (32), the changes of phenolic acids in pinto and black beans upon boiling and steaming in the current study might mainly result from three sets of reactions: (1) the oxidative degradation of phenolic acids, including enzymatic browning, (2) the release of free acids from conjugate forms, and (3) the formation of complex structures of phenolic substances from related compounds, such as proteins, tannins, and anthocyanins.

The literature on phenolic acid content in common beans is very limited. Only one work quantified phenolic acids in 15 raw common beans (22). Three cultivars of pinto bean and three cultivars of black bean (including Eclipse) were involved in this investigation. However, the phenolic acid profiles and contents of our current investigation on raw pinto and black beans are completely different from those of Luthria and Pastor-Corrales (22), who did not detect free phenolic acids in the raw beans analyzed, and only four phenolic acids were detected after sequential hydrolysis sample treatments. In the present study, free phenolic acids were detected in both raw and processed beans analyzed and more types of conjugated phenolic acids were detected in both raw and cooked beans than that report (22). The differences could be attributed to the differences of extraction methods.

Effect of Thermal Processing on Anthocyanin Composition. The anthocyanin contents of the original raw and cooked pinto and black beans are presented in Table 3. Anthocyanins were not detectable in the raw and cooked pinto beans. Five anthocyanins, namely, delphinidin-3-glucoside, malvidin-3,5-diglucoside, petunidin-3-glucoside, malvidin-3-galactoside, and malvidin-3-glucoside, were detected in the raw black beans. The dominant components were delphinidin-3-glucoside, malvidin-3,5-diglucoside, petunidin-3-glucoside, and malvidin-3-glucoside. These findings are in accordance with those of Takeoka et al. (5) and Wu and Prior (35), who found that delphinidin-3-glucoside, petunidin-3-glucoside, and malvidin-3-glucoside were the major anthocyanins in black common beans. The discrepancy was that malvidin-3,5-diglucoside as the third major component after delphinidin-3-glucoside and petunidin-3-glucoside was detected in our pinto bean sample at a higher content than malvidin-3glucoside. However, malvidin-3,5-diglucoside was reported as the minor anthocyanin in black beans in previous studies (5, 35). The reason may partly be due to the age of the beans (harvested in 2004 and analyzed in 2007), in which a glycosylation process might occur during postharvest storage.

There was a significant impact on the retention of anthocyanins as a result of thermal processing; it exhibited similar trends to those of black soybeans in our previous paper (24). All thermalprocessing treatments significantly (p < 0.05) reduced the contents of each individual anthocyanin in black beans. In comparison to the other thermal processing methods, regular steaming retained a higher content of delphinidin-3-glucoside, malvidin-3,5-diglucoside, and petunidin-3-glucoside, while pressure steaming eliminated all malvidin-3-glucoside and malvidin-3-galactoside. Only trace amounts of delphindin-3-glucoside (22.9  $\mu$ g/g), petunidin-3-glucoside (11.2  $\mu$ g/g), and malvidin-3-glucoside (6.4  $\mu g/g$ ) were retained in the pressure-steamed black beans. There were no significant differences in anthocyanin contents between regular and pressure boiled black beans. In comparison to the raw black beans, thermal processing lost 93.3-99% of delphinidin-3glucoside, 58.8-100% malvidin-3,5-diglucoside, 91.5-98.7% petunidin-3-glucoside, 100% malvidin-3-galactoside, and 88.2-98.5% malvidin-3-glucoside. These results indicated the degradation of anthocyanin compositions in black beans upon thermal processing.

Effect of Thermal Processing on Flavonol Compositions. Chemical profiles of flavonoids had been investigated in several earlier reports on raw common beans (2, 6, 26, 36) and processed beans (7). However, no systematic studies were carried out on both pinto and black beans that were cooked under atmospheric and high-pressure conditions. The flavan-3-ol and flavonol contents of the original raw and thermal processed pinto and black beans are presented in Table 4. Kaempferol-3-glucoside and kaempferol-3-acetylglucoside, as the two major flavonols, were detected in both raw and processed pinto beans. Three flavan-3ols [(+)-catechin, (+)-epicatechin, and epicatechin-gallate] and two flavonols (kaempferol-3-glucoside and myricetin) were detected in both raw and processed black beans. In contrast to previous studies (7, 27), we did not detect the aglycone form of kaempferol in our pinto beans, which may be due to the aged pinto bean materials used (harvested in 2002 and analyzed in 2007), in which an after-darkening process occurred during the storage. The unglucosylated kaempferol was known to combine with tannins in the seed coat during an after-darkening process, reducing the kaempferol concentration as compared to non-aged beans (27). The total flavonol contents were about 177.9  $\mu$ g/g in the raw pinto beans and 677.4  $\mu$ g/g in the raw black beans. From a

quantitative point of view, the flavonol contents in the raw beans are comparable to the Italian common beans in the previous report (26) but much less than that reported (>500  $\mu$ g/g) in yellow–orange-colored beans (37).

All thermal processing significantly (p < 0.05) decreased kaempferol-3-glucoside and kaempferol-3-acetylglucoside contents in pinto beans as compared to the raw pinto beans. Both regular and pressure boiling significantly (p < 0.05) decreased flavan-3-ols [(+)-catechin, (+)-epicatechin, and epicatechin-gallate] and flavonol (myricetin) contents in black beans as compared to the raw black beans. Regular steaming did not affect (+)-catechin and (+)-epicatechin contents but significantly (p < 0.05) reduced epicatechin-gallate and myricetin contents. Pressure steaming significantly (p < 0.05) increased (+)-catechin and (+)-epicatechin contents as compared to the raw black beans.

In terms of total flavonol contents (the sum of individual flavonols), in comparison to the raw beans, all thermal processing significantly (p < 0.05) reduced total flavonol contents in the pinto beans. There were no obvious different trends between the regular and pressure boiling treatments in terms of total flavonol contents in both pinto and black beans. Regular and pressure boiling and regular steaming significantly (p < 0.05) reduced total flavonol contents in the black beans, while pressure steaming significantly (p < 0.05) increased contents of total flavonols in the black beans. In comparison to the regular steaming, pressuresteaming processes yielded significantly (p < 0.05) higher flavan-3-ols, myricetin (flavonol), and the total flavonols in the cooked black beans. The increased total flavonols in the cooked black beans were predominantly contributed by the increases of (+)-catechin, which may be due to the release of the basal structural compound (catechin) from condensed tannins through a depolarization process upon thermal and pressure conditions. There was a significant impact on the retention of flavonols as a result of different processing methods. This finding is different from the previous report on green beans, which was not much affected by the heat treatment (38). The differences may be attributed to the difference between dry beans in the current study and fresh beans used in the literature.

Correlations of Phenolic Compounds and Antioxidant Activities. The linear correlation coefficients between selected predominant phenolic compounds (found in pinto and black beans) and overall antioxidant activities of beans are presented in Table 5. In the case of pinto beans, the most predominant phenolic acids (chlorogenic, sinapic, and vanillic acids, protocatechualdehyde, and vanillin) and flavonols (kaempferol-3-glucoside and kaempferol-3-acetylglucoside), subtotal benzoic acids, subtotal cinnamic acids, total phenolic acids, and total flavonols exhibited significant (p < 0.05 or 0.0001) correlations with the overall antioxidant activities (DPPH, FRAP, and ORAC values). In the case of black beans, a few part of individual phenolic acids (vanillic and chlorogenic acids), all anthocyanins, all detected flavonols (kaempferol-3-glucoside and myricetin), and one flavan-3-ol (epicatechin-gallate) exhibited significant (p < 0.05 or 0.0001) correlations with the overall antioxidant activities (DPPH, FRAP, and ORAC values). However, no significant correlations existed between the other individual phenolic acids, subtotal benzoic acids, total phenolic acids, and (+)-epicatechin and antioxidant activities (DPPH, FRAP and ORAC values) in black beans. These results indicated that both phenolic acids and flavonols play an important role on the overall antioxidant activities (DPPH, FRAP, and ORAC) of pinto beans. Unlike anthocyanins, flavan-3-ols and flavonols may play an important role on overall antioxidant activities (DPPH, FRAP, and ORAC)

 Table 5.
 Correlations between Antioxidant Activities and Predominant Phenolic Compounds Found in Beans

	pinto b	eans (N	= 15) <sup>a</sup>	black I	beans (N	/ = 15)
correlation coefficients (r)	DPPH	FRAP	ORAC	DPPH	FRAP	ORAC
gallic acid	0.44	0.49	0.56	0.31	0.23	0.34
protocatechualdehyde	0.93 <sup>b</sup>	0.91 <sup>b</sup>	0.90 <sup>c</sup>			
vanillic acid	0.90 <sup>b</sup>	0.87 <sup>b</sup>	0.85 <sup>c</sup>	0.71 <sup>c</sup>	0.70 <sup>c</sup>	0.71 <sup>c</sup>
vanillin	0.95 <sup>c</sup>	0.93 <sup>b</sup>	0.93 <sup>b</sup>			
chlorogenic acid	0.96 <sup>b</sup>	0.95 <sup>b</sup>	0.97 <sup>b</sup>	0.58 <sup>c</sup>	0.46	0.63 <sup>c</sup>
sinapic acid	0.92 <sup>b</sup>	0.89 <sup>b</sup>	0.86 <sup>c</sup>			
subtotal benzoic acids	0.94 <sup>b</sup>	0.93 <sup>b</sup>	0.93 <sup>b</sup>	0.41	0.29	0.47
subtotal cinnamic acids	0.95 <sup>b</sup>	0.93 <sup>b</sup>	0.93 <sup>b</sup>	0.50	0.37	0.53 <sup>c</sup>
total phenolic acids	0.95 <sup>b</sup>	0.94 <sup>b</sup>	0.94 <sup>b</sup>	0.46	0.33	0.51
delphinidin-3-glucose				0.92 <sup>b</sup>	0.96 <sup>b</sup>	0.88 <sup>b</sup>
malvidin-3,5-diglucose				0.99 <sup>b</sup>	0.99 <sup>b</sup>	0.78 <sup>b</sup>
petunidin-3-glucose				0.91 <sup>b</sup>	0.96 <sup>b</sup>	0.87 <sup>b</sup>
malvidin-3-glucose				0.90 <sup>b</sup>	0.94 <sup>b</sup>	0.85 <sup>b</sup>
kaempferol-3-glucoside	0.96 <sup>b</sup>	0.95 <sup>b</sup>	0.96 <sup>b</sup>	0.99 <sup>c</sup>	0.99 <sup>c</sup>	0.86 <sup>c</sup>
kaempferol-3-acetylglucoside	0.99 <sup>b</sup>	0.99 <sup>b</sup>	0.75 <sup>c</sup>			
(+)-catechin				0.62	0.52	0.67 <sup>c</sup>
(+)-epicatechin				0.30	0.23	0.37
(+)-epicatechin gallate				0.89 <sup>c</sup>	0.91 <sup>c</sup>	0.95 <sup>b</sup>
myricetin				0.86 <sup>c</sup>	0.81 <sup>c</sup>	0.92 <sup>b</sup>
total flavonols	0.95 <sup>b</sup>	0.93 <sup>b</sup>	0.94 <sup>b</sup>	0.69 <sup>c</sup>	0.62	0.75 <sup>c</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup> Values were from triplicate determinations on raw beans and the beans cooked by four cooking methods. <sup>*b*</sup> The correlation is significant at p = 0.0001 (two-tailed). <sup>*c*</sup> The correlation is significant at p = 0.05 (two-tailed).

of black beans. Thermal processing reduced these compounds dramatically in black beans and therefore decreased the overall antioxidant activities of cooked black beans.

In general, boiling and steaming processes significantly affected the total phenolics, individual phenolic compounds, and antioxidant activities on both pinto and black beans. The changes depend upon the type of beans and processing conditions. Steamed beans preserved higher antioxidant activities because of smaller losses in total phenolic compositions, individual anthocyanins, individual flavan-3-ols, flavonols, and total flavonols than the boiled beans.

## ABBREVIATION USED

CPA, conjugated phenolic acid; CTC, condensed tannin content; DPPH, 2-diphenyl-1-picryhydrazyl radical; FPA, free phenolic acid; FRAP, ferric-reducing antioxidant power; MAC, monomeric anthocyanin content; ORAC, oxygen radical absorbing capacity; TFC, total flavonoid content; TPC, total phenolic content.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This project is a part of ND AES Hatch project 1905. Mrs. Angie Ostenson assisted in sample freeze drying. Mrs. Gloria Nygard and Mr. Cook Leword assisted in the HPLC operation and maintenance.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Wu, X. L.; Beecher, G. R.; Holden, J. M.; Haytowitz, D. B.; Gebhardt, S. E.; Prior, R. L. Lipophilic and hydrophilic antioxidant capacities of common foods in the United States. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2004, *52*, 4026–4037.
- (2) Beninger, C. W.; Hosfield, G. L. Antioxidant activity extracts, condensed tannin fractions, and pure flavonoids from *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. seed coat color genotypes. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2003, 51, 7879–7883.
- (3) Xu, B. J.; Yuan, S. H.; Chang, S. K. C. Comparative analyses of phenolic composition, antioxidant capacity, and color of cool season legumes and other selected food legumes. <u>J. Food Sci</u>. 2007, 72, S167– S177.

- (4) Xu, B. J.; Yuan, S. H.; Chang, S. K. C. Comparative studies on the antioxidant activities of nine common food legumes against copperinduced human low-density lipoprotein oxidation *in vitro*. <u>J. Food</u> <u>Sci</u>. 2007, 72, S522–S527.
- (5) Takeoka, C. R.; Dao, L. T.; Full, G. H.; Wong, R. Y.; Harden, L. A.; Edwards, R. H.; Berrios, J. D. J. Characterization of black bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) anthocyanins. <u>J. Agric. Food Chem</u>. **1997**, 45, 3395–3400.
- (6) Aparicio-Fernandez, X.; Yousef, G. G.; Loarca-Pina, G.; Mejia, E.; Lila, M. A. Characterization of polyphenolics in the seed coat of black jamapa bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). <u>J. Agric. Food Chem</u>. 2005, 53, 4615–4622.
- (7) Beninger, C. W.; Gu, L. W.; Prior, R. L.; Junk, D. C.; Vandenberg, A.; Bett, K. E. Changes in polyphenols of the seed coat during the after-darkening process in pinto beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). <u>J.</u> <u>Agric. Food Chem.</u> 2005, 53, 7777–7782.
- (8) Lucier, G.; Lin, B. H.; Allshouse, J.; Kantor, L. S. Factors affecting dry bean consumption in the United States. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service. Vegetables and Specialties. http://www.ers.usda.gov/briefing/drybeans/drybeanconsumtion.pdf (accessed April 2000 from the Economic Research Service Home Page on the World Wide Web).
- (9) Xu, B. J.; Chang, S. K. C. Total phenolic content and antioxidant properties of Eclipse black beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) as affected by processing methods. *J. Food Sci.* 2008, 73, H19–H27.
- (10) Rocha-Guzmán, N. E.; González-Laredo, R. F.; Ibarra-Pérez, F. J.; Nava-Berúmen, C. A.; Gallegos-Infante, J. A. Effect of pressure cooking on the antioxidant activity of extracts from three common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) cultivars. *Food Chem.* 2007, 100, 31–35.
- (11) Xu, B. J.; Chang, S. K. C. Effects of soaking, boiling, and steaming on total phenolic content and antioxidant activities of cool season food legumes. *Food Chem.* 2008, *110*, 1–13.
- (12) Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC). AOAC Method 925.10 (air oven method) for moisture in flour. In *Official Methods of Analysis*, 17th ed.; AOAC: Gaithersburg, MD, 2000.
- (13) Vindiola, O. L.; Seib, P. A.; Hoseney, R. C. Accelerated development of the hard-to-cook state in beans. *Cereal Food World* 1986, *31*, 538– 552.
- (14) Xu, B. J.; Chang, S. K. C. A comparative study on phenolic profiles and antioxidant activities of legumes as affected by extraction solvents. *J. Food Sci.* 2007, 72, S159–S166.
- (15) Singleton, V. L.; Rossi, J. A. Colorimetry of total phenolic with phosphomolybdic-phosphotungstic acid reagents. <u>Am. J. Enol.</u> <u>Vitic.</u> 1965, 16, 144-158.
- (16) Jia, Z.; Tang, M.; Wu, J. The determination of flavonoid contents in mulberry and their scavenging effects on superoxide radicals. <u>*Food*</u> <u>*Chem.*</u> 1999, 64, 555–559.
- (17) Broadhurst, R. B.; Jones, W. T. Analysis of condensed tannin using acified vanillin. <u>J. Sci. Food Agric</u>, 1978, 29, 788–794.
- (18) Lee, J. M.; Durst, R. W.; Wrolstad, R. E. Determination of total monomeric anthocyanin pigment content of fruit juices, beverages, natural colorants, and wines by the pH differential method: Collaborative study. *J. AOAC Int.* 2005, *88*, 1269–1278.
- (19) Chen, C. W.; Ho, C. T. Antioxidant properties of polyphenols extracted from green and black tea. J. Food Lipids 1995, 2, 35–46.
- (20) Benzie, I. F. F.; Strain, J. J. The ferric reducing ability of plasma (FRAP) as a measure of "antioxidant power": The FRAP assay. *Anal. Biochem.* 1996, 239, 70–76.
- (21) Prior, R. L.; Hoang, H.; Gu, L. W.; Wu, X. L.; Bacchiocca, M.; Howard, L.; Hampsch-Woodill, M.; Huang, D. J.; Ou, B. X.; Jacob, R. Assay for hydrophilic and lipophilic antioxidant capacity (oxygen

radical absorbance capacity (ORAC<sub>FL</sub>)) of plasma and other biological and food samples. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2003**, *51*, 3273–3279.

- (22) Luthria, D. L.; Pastor-Corrales, M. A. Phenolic acids content of fifteen dry edible bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) varieties. <u>J. Food</u> <u>Compos. Anal.</u> 2006, 19, 205–211.
- (23) Robbins, R. J.; Bean, S. R. Development of a quantitative highperformance liquid chromatography-photodiode array detection measurement system for phenolic acids. <u>J. Chromatogr., A</u> 2004, 1038, 97–105.
- (24) Xu, B. J.; Chang, S. K. C. Total phenolics, phenolic acids, isoflavones, and anthocyanins and antioxidant properties of yellow and black soybeans as affected by thermal processing. <u>J. Agric. Food</u> <u>Chem.</u> 2008, 56, 7165–7175.
- (25) Murphy, P. A.; Song, T.; Buseman, G.; Barua, K. Isoflavones in soybased infant formulas. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 1997, 45, 4635–4638.
- (26) Dinelli, G.; Bonetti, A.; Minelli, M.; Marotti, I.; Catizone, P.; Mazzanti, A. Content of flavonols in Italian bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) ecotypes. *Food Chem*, **2006**, *99*, 105–114.
- (27) Hu, Y.; Cheng, Z.; Heller, L. I.; Krasnoff, S. B.; Glahn, R. P.; Welch, R. M. Kaempferol in red and pinto bean seed (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) coats inhibits iron bioavailability using an in vitro digestion/human Caco-2 cell model. <u>J. Agric. Food Chem</u>. 2006, 54, 9254–9261.
- (28) Bressani, A.; Elias, L. G. The nutritional role of polyphenols in beans. In *Polyphenols in Cereal and Legumes*; Hulse, J. H., Ed.; International Development Research Center: Ottawa, Canada, 1980; pp 61–68.
- (29) Ismail, A.; Marjan, Z. M.; Foong, C. W. Total antioxidant activity and phenolic content in selected vegetables. *Food Chem.* 2004, 87, 581–586.
- (30) Prior, R. L.; Wu, X. L.; Schaich, K. Standardized methods for the determination of antioxidant capacity and phenolics in food and dietary supplements. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2005, *53*, 4290–4302.
- (31) Krishnaswamy, K.; Raghuramulu, N. Bioactive phytochemicals with emphasis on dietary practice. <u>Ind. J. Med. Res</u>. 1998, 108, 167–181.
- (32) Fleuriet, A.; Macheix, J. J. Phenolic acids in fruits and vegetables. In *Flavonoids in Health and Disease*; Rice-Evans, C., Packer, L., Ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 2003; pp 1–42.
- (33) Dabrowski, K.; Sosulski, F. Quantification of free and hydrolysable phenolic acids in seeds by capillary gas-liquid chromatograpy. <u>J.</u> <u>Agric. Food Chem.</u> 1984, 32, 123–127.
- (34) Clifford, M. N. Chorogenic acids and other cinnanates—Nature, occurrence and dietary burden. J. Sci. Food Agric, 1999, 79, 362–372.
- (35) Wu, X. L.; Prior, R. L. Identification and characterization of anthocyanins by high-performance liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization-tandem mass spectrometry in common foods in the United States: Vegetables, nuts, and grains. <u>J. Agric. Food Chem</u>. 2005, 53, 3101–3113.
- (36) Espinosa-Alonso, L. G.; Lygin, A.; Widholm, J. M.; Valverde, M. E.; Paredes-Lopez, O. Polyphenols in wild and weedy Mexican common beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2006, 54, 4436–4444.
- (37) Beninger, C. W.; Hosfield, G. L. Flavonol glycosides from the seed coat of a new manteca-type dry bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). <u>J. Agric.</u> <u>Food Chem</u>. 1998, 46, 2906–2910.
- (38) Ewald, C.; Fjelkner-Modig, S.; Johansson, K.; Sjoholm, I.; Akesson, B. Effect of processing on major flavonoids in processed onions, green beans and peas. *Food Chem.* 1999, 64, 231–235.

Received February 28, 2009. Revised manuscript received April 15, 2009.